

## THE SUPERIOR COURT.

**Fourth Day's Session of the Spring Term.**  
Mr. George B. Elliott Admitted to the Bar—Cases Disposed of Yesterday.

Pursuant to adjournment for recess on Wednesday, the superior court met yesterday at 10 a. m.

George Rountree, Esq., introduced Mr. George B. Elliott who having been duly licensed as an attorney at law, was sworn in and admitted to practice law in the courts of the state of North Carolina.

Cases were disposed of as follows: Frank Swift vs. W. H. Howe and the Wilmington and Weldon Railroad Company, judgment for the plaintiff. The defendants made a motion for a new trial but the motion was overruled. An appeal was taken.

The case of Mrs. Jennie T. Rittenhouse administratrix vs. the Wilmington Street Railway Company, for damages by reason of the fatal accident to her husband, was set as the first case for trial on the 1st Monday of the next term.

Thomas B. Burnett vs. the Wilmington, Newbern and Norfolk railway, set for the first case for trial on the second Monday of the next term.

The Singer Manufacturing Company vs. H. Merritt, judgment for the plaintiff for \$40 and interest.

M. E. Heyer, administratrix vs. W. E. Herring, time allowed to file pleadings. Non suits were entered in the following cases:

John Maunier vs. J. H. Sweeney; The Ocean View Company vs. J. A. Hewlett; C. M. Bonham vs. E. H. Sneed & Co.; Corbett & Gore vs. Adrian & Vollers; H. and H. W. Catherwood vs. Adrian & Vollers; Cook & Bernheimer Company vs. Adrian & Vollers; Julius Davis, Esq., receiver of the Bank of New Hanover vs. Adrian & Vollers; E. K. Bryan assignee of Adrian & Vollers vs. J. D. Rogers; D. L. Gore vs. A. M. McKinnon; Sam Bear, Sr. vs. Andrew Smith et al.; C. F. Battage vs. Julius Davis, Esq., receiver et al.; Louisville Packing Company vs. J. R. Turrentine; Hurlbert Bros. & Co. vs. M. P. Taylor, Jr. & Co.; W. A. Wright et al. vs. W. N. & N. R. R. Co.

J. H. Sharp vs. E. R. Mayo, time allowed to file pleadings.

George R. French & S. E. Durham Fertilizer Company, continued.

Standard Oil Company vs. Harding Johnson, alias summons issued.

E. J. Powers vs. J. F. Everitt, continued.

Katz & Polvogt, continued.

Worth & Worth vs. D. McMillan & Sons, judgment against those who have been served, alias summons for others.

R. W. Hicks vs. J. N. Rivenbark, judgment.

R. W. Hicks vs. Z. G. Thompson, judgment.

M. S. Blossom et al. vs. Henry Green et al, judgment.

Decrees were issued in cases as follows: Ireddell Meares et al. receivers vs. Adolphus Thomas; Ireddell Meares, et al. receivers vs. Alfred Jones; Ireddell Meares, et al. receivers, vs. S. E. Yopp et al.

The University of North Carolina vs. Silvia Jones et al. on trial.

The court took a recess till 10 o'clock this morning.

As baldness makes one look prematurely old, so a full head of hair gives to mature life the appearance of youth. To secure this and prevent the former, Ayer's Hair Vigor is confidently recommended. Both ladies and gentlemen prefer it to any other dressing.

**Powell-Green.**

Mr. William E. Powell a clever young gentleman of this city, and Miss Emily E. Green, daughter of Mrs. Elizabeth Green, 517 North Fourth street between Red Cross and Campbell streets, were happily united in marriage last evening at 6 o'clock at the residence of the bride's mother. The marriage ceremony was performed by the Rev. R. A. Willis, pastor of Grace M. E. church South, in the presence of quite a number of the friends of the happy couple. Miss Norma Foster played the wedding march on the organ, and the charming bride was given away by her brother, Mr. McG. Green. Miss Bessie Taylor was maid of honor, and Mr. George G. King was best man. Mr. J. T. King and Mr. Norton were ushers.

The parlor was very prettily decorated with palms, ferns, foliage plants, roses, etc. The ceremony was performed with the contracting parties standing beneath a beautiful arch of evergreens and roses. The bride was stylishly attired in a tailor made traveling suit, and her maid, Miss Taylor, wore a bewitching dress of white mull.

After the wedding delightful refreshments were served, amid the hearty congratulations showered upon the couple. They were the recipients of numerous beautiful and useful presents.

Mr. and Mrs. Powell took the 7:15 p. m. train on the Atlantic Coast Line for a ten days' tour to Washington, Philadelphia and New York.

**The Value of Printer's Ink.**

Mr. Charles H. Shull, a merchant of Shelby, arrived here yesterday with two car loads of beef cattle and sold them at good prices. He made calls on our wholesale houses yesterday.

Mr. Shull came here on account of The Messenger's item a few days ago stating that there was a dearth of beef cattle here and advising those who had cattle to bring them to market.

To restore gray hair to its natural color as in youth, cause it to grow abundant and strong, there is no better preparation than Hall's Hair Renewer.

## TARIFF IN THE SENATE.

**The Finance Sub-Committee Giving Hearings—Senators Preparing to Riddle the Dingley Bill.**

Washington, April 15.—The republican sub-committee of the senate committee on finance held an all day session at the capitol today to afford an opportunity to other senators to make suggestions on the tariff. Among the senators who called and had conferences with the committee were Senators Quay, Sewell, Elkins, Wellington, Perkins, Shoup, Pritchard, Baker, and Harris of Kansas. Senator Platt, of New York, also spent some time with the committee, but he appeared to be a listener rather than a participant in the proceedings.

Senator Perkins was given an extended hearing on the fruit schedule. He presented the committee with samples of both California and foreign currants and raisins and asked for an increase of the rate on Zante currants, bringing it up to 2½ cents per pound. He also suggested a duty of 1 cent a pound on oranges and lemons instead of 3½ of a cent, as fixed by the Dingley bill. It was suggested to Senator Perkins by some of the members of the committee that the fruit schedule had already been attacked because rates were charged to be too high, but the California senator contended for thorough protection.

Senator Perkins suggested a substitute schedule on beet sugar, providing for a duty of 1 cent a pound on sugar testing 70 by the polariscope and increasing to 1½ cents for the 90 per cent test. The committee promised to give this matter due attention, but gave no further indication of the probable result of its deliberations.

A more pronounced favorable reception was given to a suggestion for a drawback duty on imported tin cans in which fruits and salmon are exported. This suggestion appeared to meet with favor.

Senators Baker and Harris asked for a duty of \$2 per ton on gypsum and for a decrease of the duty proposed on Mexican cattle.

During the day a number of the republican senators from the inter-mountain states met to argue upon a plan of cooperation. They reached a definite conclusion except to stand together in their demands on wool, hides, cattle, lead ore and other Rocky mountain products.

**Wilson Notes**  
(Correspondence of The Messenger.)

Wilson, N. C., April 15.

Last evening at the Disciples church, a beautiful marriage was solemnized, the contracting parties being Mr. W. G. Holden and Miss Alice Shakenberg, both of Wilson. The church had been most beautifully decorated for the occasion.

Rev. D. W. Davis, pastor of the church, performed the marriage ceremony in his usual solemn and impressive manner.

The Methodist church last night the Bright Jewels gave their entertainment, consisting of recitations, songs, and cantatas. It was gotten up for the purpose of raising money to buy a cot for the Mary Black hospital in China, which is to be a memorial cot in honor of Mrs. E. A. Woodard, who died in 1904.

Mrs. Woodard was the originator of the Bright Jewels here and labored successfully with them until her death, and this effort to endow a cot in her honor is in recognition of the noble and unselfish life which she led.

Wilson is temporarily without light, the electric light plant is being overhauled and an engine of double the former power being put in. When that is finished, the town will be better supplied with lights than ever before.

**The State Bank Examiner Implicated.**

Chicago, April 15.—Chas. E. Churchill, the cashier of the defunct Globe Savings bank, has made a statement as to the failure, which, if true, implicates George R. Hayden, the state bank examiner under Governor Altgeld.

Mr. Churchill said:

"Mr. Hayden, the state bank examiner, made an examination of the Globe Savings bank two days before the expiration of his term of office, but I have since found that he did not file his report with the state auditor. When the state auditor ordered an examination a few weeks later, I went to him and asked why he did so. His reply was that there was no report of an examination on file later than last July. I told him of the examination by Hayden in January and he made a demand on Hayden for his report. Hayden finally filed his report, in which he recommended that the bank should be closed. The report was filed two months after the examination on which it was based was made. Strictly taken, this examination by Hayden is a fraud, and I know of a close friend of his who attempted to purchase the bank, or at least talked about it. This man was introduced to us by Hayden. The negotiations for the control of the bank failed and soon after the report was filed, but not until after the new examination had been ordered."

**Interment of the Late Senator Voorhees**

Terre Haute, Ind., April 15.—The funeral of ex-Senator Daniel W. Voorhees took place this afternoon from St. Stephen's Episcopal church, under the direction of the rector, officiating. This is the church in which he was confirmed a number of years ago, and which he attended when here.

During the two days the remains have been here, the funeral having been delayed, awaiting the arrival of his eldest son, Charles S. Voorhees, of Spokane, Wash., they have lain in state in the parlors of the Terre Haute house, which he made his home since he broke up house-keeping a number of years ago. A steady stream of people passed through the flower-embowered room and looked upon the face of their dead friend. All classes and condition of people have been represented, and their tearful eyes have told the story of their universal sorrow.

During the afternoon all places of business in the central part of the city were closed. The public and private schools were dismissed and the whole town united in doing honor to the memory of its distinguished dead.

Senator Voorhees was a Mason and the various Masonic lodges participated in the funeral exercises, while the city council and many civic and military organizations followed his remains to the grave in Highland Lawn cemetery, where he was laid beside his wife who died several years ago.

**Bucklen's Arnica Salve**

The Best Salve in the world for Cuts, Bruises, Sores, Ulcers, Salt Rheum, Fever Sores, Tetter, Chapped Hands, Chillsbains, Corns, and all Skin Eruptions, and positively cures Piles, or no pay required. It is guaranteed to give perfect satisfaction or money refunded. Price 25 cents per box. For sale by R. R. Bellamy.

**A Stampede at a Wedding.**

Montgomery, Ala., April 15.—A special to The Advertiser from Opelika, Ala., says that persons attending a wedding at the Methodist church tonight to witness the marriage of a prominent couple. As the bride party arrived a lamp exploded in the chancel, and the crowd rushed out in a stampede, but with no fatal results. The pipe organ was burned though the building was saved. The wedding was postponed.

## RECOGNIZED BY HIS PET.

**A Keeper at the Washington Zoo Is Astonished at the Affection of a Leopard.**

(Philadelphia Times.)

The most interesting animal, historically, in the collection of the zoological gardens in Washington is a handsome African leopard, before whose cage the visitor pauses, watching with a fascination composed of equal parts of repulsion and admiration—the graceful litheness of its serpent-like movements, its fathomless aqua-marine eyes, and the venomous beauty of its skin.

It is a gift to his native city of Richard Dorsse, Mohun, the distinguished African explorer, and United States consul to Zanzibar, and has been christened by him Djinai, an African word signifying devil.

It seems that the inhabitants of a certain village in which Mr. Mohun was stationed were kept in terror for the safety of their children by the periodical incursions of a fierce female leopard, who bore away in her powerful jaws pigs, sheep, and any other domestic animal which chanced to tempt her feline appetite. The consul, accordingly, resolved to rid them of this nuisance, and having laid her low by a discharge from his rifle, discovered that he had orphaned an interesting young cub. This cub, which he adopted, was Djinai. Under his care the animal grew up, and the following day he was taken to the principal hotel in Antwerp, and the consul sprang out, Djinai leaped after him, and the two entered the hotel.

The long intimacy which had existed between them prevented Mr. Mohun from realizing the sensation the introduction of such a wild animal into the hotel among the inmates of a well-regulated establishment. In an instant the floor of the office was cleared, and guests were seen fleeing in all directions, while the proprietor, from his vantage ground behind a windowed partition, poured forth volleys of expletions in his own language.

"He's as tame as a cat," urged Mr. Mohun. "I raised him from a cub."

But explanations and reassurances were powerless to dislodge such prejudices. Poor Djinai had to be caged; and, after refusing several flattering offers for him, the keeper, Mr. Mohun, shipped him to America. Six months afterwards, upon his return to Washington, the consul drove out to the gardens to see the animal, and he was met at the entrance by the keeper, who, in attendance by jumping over the railing which fenced in his cage.

"Get back," he exclaimed, rushing forward. "I don't want to go near the animal. It's fierce."

"I don't think he'll hurt me," replied Mr. Mohun quietly, thrusting his arm between the bars.

"Are you crazy?" broke forth the keeper, seizing him by both shoulders. "Don't you know you'll get your arm chewed off?"

But at this instant Djinai's eyes fell upon his master. Uttering a hideous cry, he sprang forward, and, with his mouth open, thrust out his long red tongue and licked his extended hand.

**The English Dislike of the Greeks.**

Among the reasons which induce certain classes of Englishmen, especially soldiers, sailors, and city men, to despise, and even in a way to dislike, the Greeks, there is one, the hidden cause of which we should much like to understand. They say the Greeks are altogether too mercantile, that they are penetrated by the commercial spirit, and that they are consequently persons with whom it is impossible to feel any glowing sympathy. We can detect this idea among men who are otherwise so generous, and who refuse to pronounce the Greeks greater cheats than other traders are, and who have not by any means lost the feeling that for a people to desire freedom is of itself an evidence of generous aspirations. They say the Greeks are always making money, that they are therefore naturally avaricious, and that to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders, in fact, intent on making money, and that to ask them to sympathize with a parcel of confectioners against noble persons like the Turks, who deal in nothing, but if they want anything take it by violence, is altogether unreasonable. The Greeks are mere traders,